Sustainability and IT (how) are they related?

SummerSoc 2023

Harald Schöning, VP Research. 28.6.2023



What is Sustainability?





Two dimensions considered (but not fully covered!)

- Sustainability enabled by IT
 - and inhibited by IT
- Sustainability of IT itself
 - Al, programming in general, deployment





Watch the video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OiazparfFEs









Watch the video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OiazparfFEs



Watch the video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OiazparfFEs





and the SENATOR projects reflects this.

In this environment, SENATOR offers a new model for urban planning.

Watch the video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OiazpartFEs





and determine which vehicle is the most suitable for each scenario

Watch the video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OiazparfFEs



— x^k X



Led by Correos, the Spanish public postal system, 11 partners participate in the project





Sustainability fostered by IT

Other examples

Adaption of production to react to volatility in energy availability

- \rightarrow adapt production physics
- Enabling higher value circular economy (reuse, refurbishing) \rightarrow dismantling

Predict heat islands in cities \rightarrow city planning





REVAMP

KOPERNIKUS

Die Zukunft unserer Energie

SynErgie PRO



All fine? SDGs and Al

Positive impacts of AI: 79% (71%) а Environment 03% 85% 15 14 (69%) 69% (69%) 90%(88% 13 80% 4 90% (70%) 100% 82% (77%) Society: (93%) 26% (15%) 17 56% (44%) 829 (59)12 90% (75%) 100% 10 Economy: 100/01/5500/01 929 58% (77%) (52%) 8 16



Vinuesa, R. et al.: The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals, NATURE Communications 2020, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14108-y

All fine? SDGs and Al



Vinuesa, R. et al.: The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals, NATURE Communications 2020, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14108-y

A closer look

Society



C



Detailed assessment of the impact of AI on the SDGs within the Society group

B



Vinuesa, R. et al.: The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals, NATURE Communications 2020, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14108-y

Funny enough: not mentioned as inhibitor



By 2030, double the global rate of improvement in energy efficiency

But:

Bitcoin is on position 55 of the energy consumption of the world's nations – before Denmark and Hungary

- And by the way accounts for CO2 emissions equal to that of Greece
- A single Bitcoin transaction equals the passenger footprint of a flight from Amsterdam to New York

Ok, that's not AI \rightarrow is AI doing much better?



Let's ask ChatGPT



\$

how much energy does ChatGpt consume per prompt?

As an Al language model, I don't have direct information about the exact energy consumption of ChatGPT per prompt. The energy consumption of running Al models can vary depending on various factors, such as the hardware infrastructure used, optimization techniques, and the specific implementation of the model. However, it's worth noting that large language models like GPT-3 consume a significant amount of computational resources and energy. Training these models requires substantial computational power and energy consumption. Inference, which is the process of generating responses based on user prompts, is generally less resource-intensive than training, but it still requires considerable computational resources. To mitigate the environmental impact of Al models, researchers and developers are actively

exploring energy-efficient architectures and methods to reduce the carbon footprint associated with AI technologies. Efforts are being made to optimize the models, improve hardware efficiency, and explore renewable energy sources for powering data centers.

Ultimately, the energy consumption of AI models like ChatGPT depends on a range of factors, and without specific details about the infrastructure and implementation, it is challenging to provide an accurate estimate.



በሐም

Let's ask ChatGPT



how much energy does ChatGpt consume per prompt?



As an Al language model, I don't have direct information about the exact energy consumption of ChatGPT per prompt. The energy consumption of running Al models can

በሐም

However, it's worth noting that large language models like GPT-3 consume a significant amount of computational resources and energy. Training these models requires substantial computational power and energy consumption. Inference, which is the process of generating responses based on user prompts, is generally less resource-intensive than training, but it still requires considerable computational resources.

naroware efficiency, and explore renewable energy sources for powering data centers.

Ultimately, the energy consumption of AI models like ChatGPT depends on a range of factors, and without specific details about the infrastructure and implementation, it is challenging to provide an accurate estimate.

Can LLM do better?

ChatGPT is reported to need 3 Wh / several cents of energy cost per prompt or \$3 Mio a day. Training GPT-3 consumed 1.287 GWh

But even LLM can do better:

Although GPT-3 and BLOOM have the same number of parameters (175,000,000,000),

the energy and climate impacts differ:

GPT-3: 1.287 MWh energy comsumption, 502 tons CO₂ emissions

BLOOM: 433 MWh energy comsumption, 25 tons CO₂ emissions

Energy-efficient HW Greenwashing????



Just that – or is there more than using better hardware?

Model training is more expensive than model usage

- *Learned* models are not panacea
- When is re-training *really* required?
- Consider model reuse
 - Binhang Qi et al: Reusing Deep Neural Network Models through Model Re-engineering, https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.00245
 - Bajcsy, P. et al. (2023). Characterization of AI Model Configurations for Model Reuse. In: Karlinsky, L., Michaeli, T., Nishino, K. (eds)
 Computer Vision ECCV 2022 Workshops. ECCV 2022. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 13804. Springer, Cham.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-25069-9_30



Generalized view - Green data science

 Table 2 Overview of design principles for green data science

Reduce

Design Principle #1 – Reduce data

Design Principle #1.1 - Reduce number of data items

Design Principle #1.2 - Reduce number of attributes

Design Principle #1.3 – Reduce size of attributes

Design Principle #2 - Reduce operations

Design Principle #2.1 – Reduce trial and error

Design Principle #2.2 - Reduce model

Reuse

Design Principle #3 - Reuse own operations, data, and models

Design Principle #4 - Reuse from others

Support

Design Principle #5 - Share data, models, and skills

Schneider, J. et al: Reuse, Reduce, Support: Design Principles for Green Data Mining, Business Information Systems Engineering 65(1): 65-83 (2023)



Complexity still matters

Choosing the right (efficient) Algorithms can reduce computing time *and increase energy efficiency*

User Interfaces (fancy vs. efficient)

Distributed ledger vs. central data storage Consensus protocol also matters The art of computer programming

Knuth, Donald Ervin

Note: This is not the actual book cover



Do not compute more than you need!

Apply the well-known techniques

Often, improved response time also improves energy efficiency

- Use Caching where appropriate
- Queries should not retrieve more than needed
 - SELECT *

But not always, e.g.

• Reduce read-out rates of sensors to what is needed



How about programming languages?

fannkuch-redux					ĺ
	Energy	Time	Ratio	Mb	ĺ
(c) $\mathbb{C} \downarrow_2$	215.92 🚬	6076	0.036	2	ĺ
(c) C++ ∯1	219.89	6123	0.036	1	
(c) Rust ↓11	238.30	6628	0.036	16	
(c) Swift ↓5	243.81	6712	0.036	7	
(c) Ada Ų2	264.98	7351	0.036	4	
(c) Ocaml ↓1	277.27	7895	0.035	3	
(c) Chapel ↑1 Ų18	285.39	7853	0.036	53	
(v) Lisp ↓3 ↓15	309.02	9154	0.034	43	
(v) Java ↑1 U13	311.38 🚄	8241	0.038	35	
(c) Fortran ↓1	316.50	8665	0.037	12	
(c) Go ↑2 ∯7	318.51	8487	0.038	Z	
(c) Pascal 介 ₁₀	343.55	9807	0.035	2	
(v) F# ↓1 ↓7	395.03	10950	0.036	34	
(v) C# ↑ ₁ ↓ ₅	399.33	10840	0.037	29	
(i) JavaScript↓1 ↓2	413.90	33663	0.012	26	
(c)Haskell ↑1 ∬8	433.68	14666	0.030	7	
(i) Dart Ų7	487.29	38678	0.013	46	
(v) Racket ∬3	1,941.53	43680	0.044	18	
(v) Erlang ∬3	4,148.38	101839	0.041	18	
(i) Hack ↓6	5,286.77	115490	0.046	119	
(i) PHP	5,731.88	125975	0.046	34	
(i) TypeScript ↓4 ↑↑4	6,898.48	516541	0.013	26	
(i) Jruby ↑ ₁ ↓ ₄	7,819.03	219148	0.036	669	
(i) Lua ↓3 1↑19	8,277.87	635023	0.013	2	
(i) Perl ↑2 ↑12	11,133.49	249418	0.045	12	
(i) Python ↑2 ↑14	12,784.09	279544	0.046	12	
(i) Ruby ↑2 ↑17	14,064.98	315583	0.045	8	

Energy C: 215.92

— Java: 311.38

____ Python: 12,784.09

Pereira, R. et al: Energy Efficiency across Programming Languages-

How Do Energy, Time, and Memory Relate?

SLE'17, October 23–24, 2017, Vancouver, Canada



How about cloud computing?





Source: winsystems.com

Of course, there is more to consider

e.g. if you operate a data center

• Mode of Operation (usage ratio, temperature etc.)

• Be careful: PUE (power usage efficiency) might be misleading!

- You do not always need full hardware performance
- Even scheduling has an influence

→ look at what has been done for mobile phones!



The whole system matters

Judging your **entire** solution with respect to sustainability

- Positive and negative impact of the *application*
 - Maybe hard for a data scientist....
- Impact of *running* the application

How to design a *system* for sustainability?

van der Aalst, W.M.P, Hinz, O., Weinhardt, C.: Sustainable Systems Engineering, Business Information Systems Engineering 65(1):1-6 (2023)

See also: <mark>A guide to sustainable IT</mark>

https://www.softwareag.com/en_corporate/resources/it-portfolio-management/guide/guide-to-sustainability-in-it.html



